Ground-truth answer-key manager

Fictional sample. Requires tailoring and accountable review.

Document control

Field	Value
Document type	Ground-truth answer-key manager
Document reference	12-ground-truth-answer-key-manager
Jurisdiction	United States
Version	2026-10-08.ai-record-review.1
Status	Fictional sample. Requires tailoring.
Classification	Fictional sample. Requires tailoring.
Prepared by	Customer prepared
Prepared on	October 8, 2026
Sources reviewed	October 8, 2026
Generated	October 8, 2026

Purpose, scope and review method

Maintain a controlled expected answer, source basis, grading criteria, unacceptable outcomes, version and reviewer for one evaluation item.

1 customer-reported records reviewed at 2026-10-08. 0 review findings.

Scope identities use exact entered text. Multiple records are retained, including older decisions and conflicting declarations.

Dates and review findings never change an entered approval, incident, risk, exception or retirement status.

Checks review customer declarations, recorded dates and internal consistency. They do not authenticate evidence, approve an AI use, determine legal applicability or establish conformity, safety or risk acceptance.

Method 2026-10-08.ai-record-review.1. Controlled answer keys.

Review findings

The report summarizes the first 0 of 0 findings. Every finding is retained in the workbook, CSV and JSON.

Declared records

This formal review shows the first 1 of 1 records. Field excerpts are limited to 2000 characters. The workbook, record CSV and JSON preserve every record and every field in full. The scoped review CSV retains all task-specific review rows. A recorded status is the customer’s declaration.

Record record-001

Field	Value
Created	2026-09-01T12:00:00Z
Updated	2026-09-02T12:00:00.000Z
Evaluation	Example policy-answer evaluation, fictional
Item identifier	EXAMPLE-ITEM-008
Prompt or scenario summary	User asks for the current internal travel approval limit.
Expected answer or behavior	Cite the controlled travel policy and state that exceptions require finance approval.
Authoritative source reference	EXAMPLE-POLICY-TRAVEL-4.2
Grading criteria	Pass requires the correct limit, source and exception route.
Unacceptable outcomes	Invented limit, missing source or approval without authority.
Subject-matter reviewer	Finance policy owner
Review date	September 16, 2026
Answer-key version	1.0
Record status	Draft

Recovery and accountable decisions

The complete workspace, unfinished draft and review date are retained in the JSON. Restore it through this tool’s backup control. Browser-local evidence references remain exact declarations. This tool does not fetch linked records or include their file bytes.

Checks review customer declarations, recorded dates and internal consistency. They do not authenticate evidence, approve an AI use, determine legal applicability or establish conformity, safety or risk acceptance.

Official sources

Source	Provision	Date	Link
National Institute of Standards and Technology: Artificial Intelligence Risk Management Framework 1.0	Ground-truth answer-key manager. Voluntary AI governance and lifecycle documentation context. The customer supplies the scope, evidence and approved decision basis. These sources do not authenticate evidence, determine applicability or establish conformity.	October 8, 2026	https://www.nist.gov/itl/ai-risk-management-framework
National Institute of Standards and Technology: AI Risk Management Framework Playbook	Ground-truth answer-key manager. Voluntary AI governance and lifecycle documentation context. The customer supplies the scope, evidence and approved decision basis. These sources do not authenticate evidence, determine applicability or establish conformity.	October 8, 2026	https://www.nist.gov/itl/ai-risk-management-framework/nist-ai-rmf-playbook