

COMPLIANCE WORKING RECORD

DPIA screening questionnaire

Fictional sample. Requires tailoring and responsible review.

Document control

Field	Value
Document type	DPIA screening questionnaire
Document reference	FICTIONAL-PRIVACY-1
Jurisdiction	United States
Version	1
Status	Named privacy assessment prepared
Prepared by	Fictional responsible reviewer
Prepared on	October 9, 2026
Rule pack	2026-10-09.privacy-assessment.1
Generated	October 9, 2026

Purpose and boundary

Assemble a source-linked screening questionnaire and exact customer-approved triggers for further assessment.

Compare every subject and selected jurisdiction against the supplied versioned screening questions. Preserve Yes, No, Unknown and justified non-applicability answers with source evidence. Identify exact triggered further-assessment criteria, unanswered questions and contradictions. A negative questionnaire never establishes that a legal assessment is unnecessary.

This preparation preserves customer-selected jurisdiction, source versions, criteria, facts and substantive decisions. It does not determine legal applicability, valid consent, a legal basis, statutory deadlines, assessment sufficiency or source authenticity. No risk reduction follows automatically from a listed safeguard. Original files remain unchanged and browser-local unless explicitly saved through paid private cloud saving.

Approved scope and privacy assessment method

Fictional limited processing boundary. Requires tailoring and responsible substantive review.

Scope approval. FICTIONAL-PRIVACY-SCOPE-1

Approved method. Fictional approved privacy preparation method 1. Source. f1. Locator. Exact fictional method and criteria JSON. Approver. Fictional method approver. Approval date. 2026-01-01

Population statement. Declared complete population. Customer-declared count. 1

DPIA screening questionnaire and trigger workpaper scope

The first 20 exact task rows appear here. Displayed values are bounded to 1000 characters. Complete typed rows and exact values remain in Excel and JSON.

ID	Facts
1	Subject ID. SUB1 Jurisdictions. ["US customer-selected preparation"] Purpose. Reduce repeated support questions from known service errors Population. Fictional customer-declared selected audience only Data Categories. ["Customer-declared service contact records"] Owner. Fictional processing owner Processing Name. Fictional support-quality review Technology. Fictional local human-reviewed case analysis Novelty. Existing bounded process with a new proposed data use Systematic Monitoring. No Vulnerable Audience. Customer-declared adult business contacts Scale Count. 100

Criteria

The first 20 exact task rows appear here. Displayed values are bounded to 1000 characters. Complete typed rows and exact values remain in Excel and JSON.

ID	Facts
1	Criterion ID. C1 Jurisdiction. US customer-selected preparation Instrument. Fictional approved preparation criteria Provision. Fictional Screening question 1 Rule Version. Fictional approved privacy preparation method 1 Source ID. f1 Source Locator. criteria[0] Stage. Screening Question. Fictional Screening question based on selected observations Trigger When. Yes Trigger Effect. Further assessment Approved By. Fictional method approver Approved On. 2026-01-01

Answers

The first 20 exact task rows appear here. Displayed values are bounded to 1000 characters. Complete typed rows and exact values remain in Excel and JSON.

ID	Facts
1	Answer ID. ANS1 Subject ID. SUB1 Criterion ID. C1 Answer. Yes Rationale. Fictional exact observation supports this supplied answer. Evidence IDs. ["E1"] Reviewer. Fictional responsible answer reviewer Reviewed On. 2026-10-09

Flows

The first 20 exact task rows appear here. Displayed values are bounded to 1000 characters. Complete typed rows and exact values remain in Excel and JSON.

ID	Facts
1	Flow ID. FLOW1 Subject ID. SUB1 Data Categories. ["Customer-declared service contact records"] Source. Fictional direct customer-authorized source Purpose Phase. Assessed operating flow Purpose. Reduce repeated support questions from known service errors Recipient. Fictional restricted service team Recipient Role. Fictional internal service role Location. Customer-selected US service region Retention Rule. Fictional approved 90-day service requirement, not a statutory period Retention Source ID. f1 Retention Locator. retention Access Roles. Fictional restricted reviewer role Disclosure State. Permitted by declared condition Disclosure Evidence IDs. ["E1"]

Alternatives

The first 20 exact task rows appear here. Displayed values are bounded to 1000 characters. Complete typed rows and exact values remain in Excel and JSON.

ID	Facts
1	Alternative ID. ALT1 Subject ID. SUB1 Option. Fictional bounded selected processing method Objective Contribution. Meets purpose Intrusion Comparison. Equivalent intrusion Selection. Selected Reason. Fictional reviewed observations support this exact purpose contribution. Evidence IDs. ["E1"]
2	Alternative ID. ALT2 Subject ID. SUB1 Option. Fictional aggregate-only alternative Objective Contribution. Partially meets purpose Intrusion Comparison. Less intrusive Selection. Rejected Reason. Fictional observed aggregate data cannot identify the recurring support failure within this selected scope. Evidence IDs. ["E1"]

Impacts

The first 20 exact task rows appear here. Displayed values are bounded to 1000 characters. Complete typed rows and exact values remain in Excel and JSON.

ID	Facts
1	Impact ID. IMP1 Subject ID. SUB1 Pathway. Fictional unapproved access could expose the declared service context Affected Interest. Customer-declared confidentiality and reasonable expectations Affected Population. Fictional selected audience Assessed Contexts. ["Declared screening factors"] Inherent Judgment. Customer-declared material privacy consequence Residual Judgment. Customer-declared material privacy consequence Residual Rationale. No automatic decrease follows from the selected safeguard. Measure IDs. ["M1"] Evidence IDs. ["E1"] Uncertainty. Fictional limited observation set. No complete population or legal assurance. Owner. Fictional privacy impact owner

Measures

The first 20 exact task rows appear here. Displayed values are bounded to 1000 characters. Complete typed rows and exact values remain in Excel and JSON.

ID	Facts
1	Measure ID. M1 Subject ID. SUB1 Impact IDs. ["IMP1"] Description. Fictional restricted role and purpose-specific access control Implementation State. Implemented Test Result. Supports reported safeguard Evidence IDs. ["E1"] Tested On. 2026-10-08 Owner. Fictional safeguard owner

Decisions

The first 20 exact task rows appear here. Displayed values are bounded to 1000 characters. Complete typed rows and exact values remain in Excel and JSON.

ID	Facts
1	Decision ID. D1 Subject ID. SUB1 Jurisdiction. US customer-selected preparation Benefit Reason. Fictional exact declared service benefit and source observations. Necessity Reason. Fictional selected method meets the objective. The aggregate alternative only partly meets it. Balance Reason. Fictional material privacy consequence remains explicit. Conditional review and observed access controls support the supplied decision. Impact IDs. ["IMP1"] Measure IDs. ["M1"] Decision. Modify Conditions. Fictional owner must review the source-supported limitation before broader use. Action IDs. ["A1"] Approval By. Fictional responsible decision maker Approval Role. Fictional processing approval role Approved On. 2026-10-09 Review On. 2026-12-31 Evidence IDs. ["E1"]

Evidence

The first 20 exact task rows appear here. Displayed values are bounded to 1000 characters. Complete typed rows and exact values remain in Excel and JSON.

ID	Facts
1	Evidence ID. E1 Subject ID. SUB1 Record ID. D1 File ID. f2 Source Sha256. 8c5f9e2e5f7327cd0d474b4579292e188a344402ff258766f93b5c22fc7717c0 Locator. CSV observation FICTIONAL-PRIVACY-OBS-1 Conclusion. Supports Reviewer. Fictional responsible evidence reviewer Observed On. 2026-10-08 Reviewed On. 2026-10-09

Actions

The first 20 exact task rows appear here. Displayed values are bounded to 1000 characters. Complete typed rows and exact values remain in Excel and JSON.

ID	Facts
1	Action ID. A1 Record ID. D1 Description. Fictional owned follow-up for the declared limitation and approval condition. Owner. Fictional response owner State. Planned Due On. 2026-12-31 Closed On. Evidence IDs. [] Depends On. []

Named processing analysis

The first 20 prepared output rows appear here. Complete rows remain in Excel and JSON.

ID	Result
SUB1	Subject. SUB1 Result. Named facts and analysis prepared Processing Name. Fictional support-quality review Technology. Fictional local human-reviewed case analysis Novelty. Existing bounded process with a new proposed data use Systematic Monitoring. No Vulnerable Audience. Customer-declared adult business contacts Scale Count. 100 Declared trigger count. 1 Further assessment criteria. ["C1"] Exact recipient and retention paths. [{"flowId":"FLOW1","recipient":"Fictional restricted service team","retention":"Fictional approved 90-day service requirement, not a statutory period","permission":"Permitted by declared condition"}] Required impact contexts. ["Declared screening factors"] Jurisdiction decisions. [{"jurisdiction":"US customer-selected preparation","decision":"Modify","benefit":"Fictional exact declared service benefit and source observations.","necessity":"Fictional selected method meets the objective. The aggregate alternative only partly meets it.","balance":"Fictional material [formal preview abridged]"}]

DPIA screening questionnaire and trigger worksheet

The first 20 prepared output rows appear here. Complete rows remain in Excel and JSON.

ID	Result
SUB1	Subject. SUB1 Jurisdictions. ["US customer-selected preparation"] Categories. ["Customer-declared service contact records"] Named task facts. {"subjectId":"SUB1","jurisdictions":["US customer-selected preparation"],"purpose":"Reduce repeated support questions from known service errors","population":"Fictional customer-declared selected audience only","dataCategories":["Customer-declared service contact records"],"owner":"Fictional processing owner","processingName":"Fictional support-quality review","technology":"Fictional local human-reviewed case analysis","novelty":"Existing bounded process with a new proposed data use","systematicMonitoring":"No","vulnerableAudience":"Customer-declared adult business contacts","scaleCount":"100"} Result. Declared processing scope prepared

Exact jurisdiction criteria and answers

The first 20 prepared output rows appear here. Complete rows remain in Excel and JSON.

ID	Result
SUB1	Subject. SUB1 Jurisdiction. US customer-selected preparation Criterion. C1 Question. Fictional Screening question based on selected observations Answer. Yes Declared trigger. Further assessment Result. Customer answer and exact criterion prepared

Declared collection, use, retention and recipient paths

The first 20 prepared output rows appear here. Complete rows remain in Excel and JSON.

ID	Result
SUB1	Flow. FLOW1 Subject. SUB1 Categories. ["Customer-declared service contact records"] Phase. Assessed operating flow Purpose. Reduce repeated support questions from known service errors Exact source. Fictional direct customer-authorized source Recipient. Fictional restricted service team Retention. Fictional approved 90-day service requirement, not a statutory period Disclosure state. Permitted by declared condition Result. Exact declared flow prepared

Reasoned assessment draft sections

The first 20 prepared output rows appear here. Complete rows remain in Excel and JSON.

ID	Result
SUB1	<p>Subject. SUB1 Jurisdiction. US customer-selected preparation Decision. Modify Triggered criteria. ["C1"] Result. Named assessment draft prepared Draft text. DPIA screening questionnaire and trigger workpaper. Subject SUB1. Jurisdiction US customer-selected preparation</p> <p>Declared processing facts. {"subjectId":"SUB1","jurisdictions":["US customer-selected preparation"],"purpose":"Reduce repeated support questions from known service errors","population":"Fictional customer-declared selected audience only","dataCategories":["Customer-declared service contact records"],"owner":"Fictional processing owner","processingName":"Fictional support-quality review","technology":"Fictional local human-reviewed case analysis","novelty":"Existing bounded process with a new proposed data use","systematicMonitoring":"No","vulnerableAudience":"Customer-declared adult business contacts","scaleCount":"100"}</p> <p>Customer-selected exact criteria. [{"criterionId":"C1","instrument":"Fictional approved preparation [formal preview abridged]}</p>

Necessity alternatives

The first 20 prepared output rows appear here. Complete rows remain in Excel and JSON.

ID	Result
SUB1	Alternative. ALT1 Subject. SUB1 Option. Fictional bounded selected processing method Contribution. Meets purpose Intrusion. Equivalent intrusion Selection. Selected Reason. Fictional reviewed observations support this exact purpose contribution. Result. Declared comparison prepared
SUB1	Alternative. ALT2 Subject. SUB1 Option. Fictional aggregate-only alternative Contribution. Partially meets purpose Intrusion. Less intrusive Selection. Rejected Reason. Fictional observed aggregate data cannot identify the recurring support failure within this selected scope. Result. Declared comparison prepared

Impact pathways and actual safeguards

The first 20 prepared output rows appear here. Complete rows remain in Excel and JSON.

ID	Result
SUB1	Impact. IMP1 Subject. SUB1 Pathway. Fictional unapproved access could expose the declared service context Affected interest. Customer-declared confidentiality and reasonable expectations Inherent judgment. Customer-declared material privacy consequence Residual judgment. Customer-declared material privacy consequence Actual safeguards. ["M1"] Uncertainty. Fictional limited observation set. No complete population or legal assurance. Result. Source-linked judgment prepared

Owned response and review plan

The first 20 prepared output rows appear here. Complete rows remain in Excel and JSON.

ID	Result
A1	Action. A1 Record. D1 Description. Fictional owned follow-up for the declared limitation and approval condition. Owner. Fictional response owner State. Planned Due date. 2026-12-31 Dependencies. [] Result. Owned response prepared

Assessment draft. SUB1. US customer-selected preparation

Complete exact draft text remains in Excel and JSON.

DPIA screening questionnaire and trigger workpaper. Subject SUB1. Jurisdiction US customer-selected preparation

Declared processing facts. {"subjectId":"SUB1","jurisdictions":["US customer-selected preparation"],"purpose":"Reduce repeated support questions from known service errors","population":"Fictional customer-declared selected audience only","dataCategories":["Customer-declared service contact records"],"owner":"Fictional processing owner","processingName":"Fictional support-quality review","technology":"Fictional local human-reviewed case analysis","novelty":"Existing bounded process with a new proposed data use","systematicMonitoring":"No","vulnerableAudience":"Customer-declared adult business contacts","scaleCount":"100"}

Customer-selected exact criteria. [{"criterionId":"C1","instrument":"Fictional approved preparation criteria","provision":"Fictional Screening question 1","version":"Fictional approved privacy preparation method 1","sourceId":"f1","sourceLocator":"criteria[0]"}]

Purpose and benefits. Fictional exact declared service benefit and source observations.

Necessity and alternatives. Fictional selected method meets the objective. The aggregate alternative only partly meets it.

Balancing and remaining impacts. Fictional material privacy consequence remains explicit. Conditional review and observed access controls support the supplied decision.

Declared criterion triggers. ["C1"]

Customer decision. Modify. Conditions. Fictional owner must review the source-supported limitation before broader use.

Approval. Fictional responsible decision maker, Fictional processing approval role, 2026-10-09. Review. 2026-12-31

Evidence references. ["E1"]. Action references. ["A1"]

Review findings

1 declared processing subjects. 1 exact jurisdiction criteria. 0 unresolved findings.

The first 100 findings and 1000 characters per displayed field appear here. Complete findings remain in the data exports.

Kind	ID	Result	Finding
Declared criterion trigger	ANS1	Limited	Exact customer-selected answer triggers Further assessment. No legal applicability is inferred.
Named privacy decision	D1	Prepared	Substantive decision and source-linked reasoning remain customer declarations.

Selected exact attachments

ID	Filename	Bytes	SHA-256
f1	fictional-approved-privacy-method.json	279	f8c3f0202e3b69d27167bbafa38b4d7455d789a226ba2cfe3a15933b09a433d6
f2	fictional-reviewed-privacy-observations.csv	113	8c5f9e2e5f7327cd0d474b4579292e188a344402ff258766f93b5c22fc7717c0

Unresolved items and limitations

The first 100 unresolved items appear here, with 1000 characters per preview. Complete findings remain in Excel and JSON.

- This preparation preserves customer-selected jurisdiction, source versions, criteria, facts and substantive decisions. It does not determine legal applicability, valid consent, a legal basis, statutory deadlines, assessment sufficiency or source authenticity. No risk reduction follows automatically from a listed safeguard. Original files remain unchanged and browser-local unless explicitly saved through paid private cloud saving.

Limitations

- This preparation preserves customer-selected jurisdiction, source versions, criteria, facts and substantive decisions. It does not determine legal applicability, valid consent, a legal basis, statutory deadlines, assessment sufficiency or source authenticity. No risk reduction follows automatically from a listed safeguard. Original files remain unchanged and browser-local unless explicitly saved through paid private cloud saving.

Official sources

Source	Provision	Date	Link
California Privacy Protection Agency: CCPA approved regulations, risk assessments and automated decisionmaking technology	Sections 7002, 7150 through 7156 and 7200 through 7222. Purpose restrictions, assessment content, benefits and negative impacts, safeguards and decision preparation. Effective and compliance dates differ. Customer-approved applicability and exact operative versions remain required.. Compare every subject and selected jurisdiction against the supplied versioned screening questions. Preserve Yes, No, Unknown and justified non-applicability answers with source evidence. Identify exact triggered further-assessment criteria, unanswered questions and contradictions. A negative questionnaire never establishes that a legal assessment is unnecessary. This source does not establish applicability, valid permission, customer assessment sufficiency or an approval.	October 9, 2026	https://coppa.ca.gov/regulations/pdf/ccpa_updates_cyber_risk_admt_appr_text.pdf